

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3486 of 1982

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

DILIPKUMAR T MEHTA

Versus

GUJARAT ELECTRICITY BOARD

Appearance:

None present for the Petitioner

MR VJ DESAI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 01/07/96

ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr. Desai filed a copy of the order of the Board dated 2nd January, 1984, and made a statement that the petitioner has already been transferred to Bharuch where he wanted to go. In view of the fact that the petitioner has been transferred to Bharuch, the grievance made by the petitioner in this Special Civil Application does not survive and this writ petition becomes infructuous. The learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. I

have gone through the writ petition and the prayer made by the petitioner is that the respondents No.1, 2 and 3 be restrained from posting the respondent No.4 on the post of Sr. Assistant Clerk at Bharuch. This prayer has been made by the petitioner as he wanted to stick at Bharuch. In view of the statement made by the counsel for respondent No.1, which stands uncontroverted, this writ petition does not survive as it has become infructuous. Order accordingly. The writ petition is dismissed has having become infructuous. Rule discharged.

.....
(sunil)